



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,211	02/10/2005	Kaoru Usui	050053	6407
23850 7590 03/10/2009 KRATZ, QUINTOS & HANSON, LLP 1420 K Street, N.W. Suite 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20005				
EXAMINER				
YAGER, JAMES C				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1794				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/10/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary**Application No.**

10/524,211

Applicant(s)

USUI ET AL.

Examiner

JAMES YAGER

Art Unit

1794

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 January 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SF/ICE)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 16 January 2009 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Art Unit: 1794

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
4. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Usui (US 5,046,479) in view of Donati et al. (US 6,379,695), and Kaplow et al. (US 3,769,042).

Regarding claims 1 and 5, Usui discloses a heat-generating body, comprising a heat-generating portion formed by sealing a heat-generating composition causing an exothermic reaction in the presence of air (col 2, ln 23-35) in an air-permeable container in desired form such as bag form or sheet form (col 2, ln 35-36) and an adhesive portion (col 2, ln 35-40) to enable the heat-generating body to be attached to skin (col 1, ln 10-11).

Usui does not disclose the adhesive portion comprising, as a main component, a water-containing hydrophilic gel agent obtained from a hydrophilic polymeric thickening agent, wherein an organic filling agent is added in the water-containing hydrophilic gel agent in the adhesive portion.

Donati discloses an adhesive plaster for use on skin (C1/L54-58) comprising an adhesive hydrogel matrix and a thickener such as carboxymethylcellulose (i.e. a water-containing hydrophilic gel agent obtained from a hydrophilic polymeric thickening agent) (C2/L25-27; C2/L48-56). Donati discloses that the adhesive can contain active ingredients for treating the skin (C2/L8-15)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the hydrogel adhesive disclosed by Donati as the adhesive in the heat-generating body of Usui, since Usui and Donati are in the same field of endeavor (adhering an apparatus to the skin of a person), and to provide a heat-generating body having the advantage of a skin treating agent in the adhesive to treat the skin of a patient.

Neither Usui nor Donati disclose that the difference between critical moisture values of the heat-generating portion and the adhesive portion is 2% or less.

Kaplow discloses a beef stew formulation wherein the beef chunks, carrots, peas and potatoes are dehydrated to a moisture content of less than 45 percent and a gravy with a moisture content of 45 percent or less (i.e. difference of 2% or less) and that the respective moisture contents of the solids phase and liquid phase will approximate one another such that moisture migration between the phases will be minimal and therefore not adversely imbalance the concentration of stabilizing solutes in the phases (C2/L18-48).

The examiner recognizes that Kaplow is used for food, however, the reference is being used to teach the concept of maintaining equilibrium of moisture content of adjacent entities to prevent the migration of water, which clearly applies to the context of the heat-generating composition and adhesive set forth in Usui and Donati.

Art Unit: 1794

Although Kaplow discloses moisture content not critical moisture value, it is the examiner's position that these values will be directly related to one another and therefore, a percentage difference between two moisture content values and two critical moisture values will be directly related.

Given that Usui discloses that an appropriate degree of moisture is important to provide good heat generating ability of the heat generating composition and too much or too little moisture negatively affect heat generating ability (C2/L64-C3/L10), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to minimize the difference between the moisture content of the adhesive composition and the heat generating composition to minimize water migration between the adhesive composition and the heat generating composition as suggested by Kaplow to provide a heat generating body that has a heat generating composition that maintains effective heat generating properties.

Alternatively, it is the examiner's position that is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art that water migrates from an area or article having high moisture content to an adjacent area or article having low moisture content. Given that Usui discloses that an appropriate degree of moisture is important to provide good heat generating ability of the heat generating composition and too much or too little moisture negatively affect heat generating ability (C2/L64-C3/L10), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to minimize the difference between the moisture content of

Art Unit: 1794

the adhesive composition and the heat generating composition to minimize water migration between the adhesive composition and the heat generating composition, as readily apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art, to provide a heat generating body that has a heat generating composition that maintains effective heat generating properties.

5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Usui (US 5,046,479) in view of Donati et al. (US 6,379,695) and Kaplow et al. (US 3,769,042), as applied to claim 1 above, in further view of Ayers (US 2,507,465).

Regarding claim 2, modified Usui discloses all of the claim limitations as set forth above. Modified Usui does not disclose that an organic filling agent is added in the water-containing hydrophilic gel agent in the adhesive portion.

Ayers discloses organic filler for use in an adhesive that promotes the adhesive quality (C1/L40-46).

Usui, Donati and Ayers are analogous art because they all teach about adhesives. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the organic filler of Ayers into the adhesive of modified Usui to provide a heat generating body having an adhesive with better adhesive quality.

6. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Usui (US 5,046,479) in view of Donati et al. (US 6,379,695) and Kaplow et al. (US 3,769,042), as applied to claim 1 above and over Usui (US 5,046,479) in view of Donati et al. (US 6,379,695), Kaplow et al. (US 3,769,042) and Ayers (US

Art Unit: 1794

2,507,465) as applied to claim 2 above, further in view of Otsuka et al. (US 2001/0010847).

Regarding claims 3 and 4, modified Usui discloses all the claim limitations as set forth above. Usui further discloses that the heat-generating body is contained in an air-tight container bag (col 1, ln 16-20, wrapped, hermetically sealed bag). Additionally, Usui discloses that the adhesive portion is attached to the heat-generating portion (col 2, ln 32-37), but does not explicitly disclose how they are attached. Otsuka discloses a heat-generating body comprising a heat-generating portion and an adhesive portion ([0050]) wherein the adhesive portion is laminated on the heat generating portion ([0061]-[0062], stacked laminate).

As Usui is not limited to any specific examples of attachment and as laminating adhesives to heat-generating bodies is well known in the art at the time the invention was made, as evidenced by Otsuka, and further, as the instant specification is silent to unexpected results, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to laminate the adhesive onto the heat-generating portion as in the heat-generating body of Otsuka. Said combination would amount to use of a known attachment method for its intended use in a known environment to accomplish entirely expected result.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2 and 5 as rejected under Usui in view of Munro as well as the 1.132 declaration filed 1/16/09 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES YAGER whose telephone number is (571)270-3880. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thurs, 7:30am-5pm, EST, Alt. Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Callie Shosho can be reached on 571 272-1123. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JY 3/5/09

/Callie E. Shosho/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794